# SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 2010 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S184237

SOOS, SR., (EMERY) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT (JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA)

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioners for leave to file Petition for Writ of Mandate is hereby denied.

S034800

PEOPLE v. DeHOYOS (RICHARD LUCIO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Gary D. Garcia's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by May 10, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 7, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

S049626

PEOPLE v. HAJEK (STEPHEN EDWARD) & VO (LOI TAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Doron Weinberg's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Loi Tan Vo's reply brief by December 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 24, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S052374

PEOPLE v. BROWN (STEVEN ALLEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Kathleen A. McKenna's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by November 2, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 7, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S083446

PEOPLE v. WILSON (BRANDON H.)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan L. Wolk's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 7, 2010. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

S120382

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (VINCENT HENRY)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 7, 2010.

S160814

VIRGIL (LESTER WAYNE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Manuel J. Baglanis's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by the end of January 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 31, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

S172438

WATKINS (PAUL SODOA) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gary B. Wells's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 29, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 31, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S175275

ABEL (JOHN CLYDE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General James D. Dutton's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 6, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 7, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling

about 90 additional days are contemplated.

**S178799** E044098 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

CABRAL (MARIA) v. RALPHS GROCERY COMPANY

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to August 2, 2010.

S182813 B212057 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HOUSE (SHANTAL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Sharon Fleming is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

SAN FRANCISCO JULY 7, 2010 1185 - B

# <u>N.P.</u>

## **S097414** PEOPLE v. KIM RAYMOND KOPATZ

The court approves payment in the amount of \$5,075 as an interim payment of compensation and/or expenses.

## **S129501** PEOPLE v. JULIAN ALEJANDRO MENDEZ

The court approves payment in the amount of \$9,425 as an interim payment of compensation and/or expenses.

# S132256 PEOPLE v. GLEN TAYLOR HELZER

The court approves payment in the amount of \$4,640 as an interim payment of compensation and/or expenses.

### S136171 PEOPLE v. MARCUS DELON WESSON

The court approves payment in the amount of \$17,110 as an interim payment of compensation and/or expenses.

### S165195 PEOPLE v. ANTHONY NAVARRO

The court approves payment in the amount of \$1,288.44 as payment for necessary case assistance to appointed counsel where the California Appellate Project is unable to provide said case assistance due to a conflict of interest.

## **S165998** PEOPLE v. NOEL JESSSE PLATA & RONALD TRI TRAN

The court approves payment in the amount of \$1,020.32 as payment for necessary case assistance to appointed counsel where the California Appellate Project is unable to provide said case assistance due to a conflict of interest.

### S178272 PEOPLE v. BILLY JOE JOHNSON

The court approves payment in the amount of \$1,041.83 as payment for necessary case assistance to appointed counsel where the California Appellate Project is unable to provide said case assistance due to a conflict of interest.